

REMARKS


As noted above, Applicants' elect group I corresponding to the method with traverse.

The Examiner's rationale is based upon the apparatus being able to be used to practice another and materially different process. In particular, the Examiner alleges that it can be used to clean a substrate.

However, the prior apparatus claims have now been canceled and replaced by new claims 32-43. These claims have been drafted so as to closely track method claims 12-16 and 23-29. Accordingly, it may be seen that in view of the correspondence between the claims, restriction may not be made in this situation. Accordingly, examination of all of these claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Cesare FUMO et al.

By: 

Nils E. Pedersen
Registration No. 33,145
Attorney for Applicants

NEP/krp
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
September 13, 2006